


From: 
To: [Norfolk Boreas](#)
Subject: Norfolk Boreas Project EN10087
Date: 25 August 2020 19:44:07

Subject: Norfolk Boreas Project EN10087

Dear Planning Inspectorate

I would like to submit my comments regarding the fifth round of questions.

After following the substation projects for 3 years now, I still feel that there is a lack of clear information.

I would like the SoS to be aware of the following.

I would like to see quality 3D images showing true size and scale of the construction and in situ. There has been a lack of availability, clarification and satisfactory, accurate provision so far. This doesn't feel a huge ask.

Mitigation planning seems to be vague and unrealistic, expecting it to be an acceptable proposition that tree growth is adequate to act as a disguise both in the short and long term. Can it be explained please how this is thought to be possible and acceptable given the height and overall size of the proposed construction, versus the rate of tree growth and maximum size achievable from stated trees.

It appears that each stage of planning construction, Vanguard, Boreas is looked at and planned in isolation and not jointly. Would 'joined up thinking', not produce reductions both financially and environmentally, thus reducing negative impacts. The Vanguard examination did not consider cumulative effects, which as these projects grow, cumulative accountability is crucial.

Noise impact I feel needs to be reviewed, as monitoring was minimal. The areas to be worst affected are quiet residential areas and require further noise level tests. Only 2 of the long term monitoring points were monitored for the planned week out of the 12.

If an independent early design review is to be undertaken, then I would ask that the review board takes into account the submissions from interested parties who have provided very valuable local knowledge as well as thoroughly researched information to substantiate valid points raised, as well as to support concerns. A local forum in conjunction would be beneficial.

Thank you for your kind attention.

Yours faithfully
Diana Lockwood
20022886